

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/942,881	LI ET AL.
	Examiner Dionne A. Walls	Art Unit 1731

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Dionne A. Walls.

(3) _____.

(2) Laura Lee.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

43 and 53

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked Ms. Lee if claims 43 and 53 could be amended to delete the phrase "at least one", and replace with --an--, as it relates to the additive, in order to be consistent with the latter part of the claim that recites that the additive "consists essentially of iron oxides nanoparticles". Also, in claim 43, the phrase "is in the form of iron oxide nanoparticles, and wherein the additive" is redundant, and should be canceled. Ms. Lee agreed and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's amendment. .